



Optimization of ultrasound-assisted extraction of cold-pressed pistachio meal proteins

Gülşah Karabulut, Hatice Sıçramaz, Yaren Didem Esendemir, <u>Semanur Yildiz</u>*



Sakarya University, Department of Food Engineering & Sakarya University, Sustainable Food Processing Laboratory (SuProLab) Turkey *semanury@sakarya.edu.tr





COST Action Meeting FoodWaStop Ancona, 24-25 January 2023



Motivation

- Environmental effects of food sector including livestock production
- Increasing world population
- Changes in dietary preferences
- Need of improving functional properties of proteins

Alternative Protein Sources

Alternative Processing Technologies



Alternative Protein Sources



- Nutritional needs
- Efficient use of sources
- Development of new food ingredients
- Circular economy
- Sustainability

Residues from oil industry



Pistachio



Production

1- Iran (%53,2) 2- USA (%22,3) 3- Türkiye (12,7)

Ak vd. (2016)



Protein Fat Carbohydrate % 20.16 % 45.32 % 27.17

USDA (2018)



Protein extraction







Research Question

Can ultrasound-assisted alkaline extraction improve the protein extraction yield from cold-pressed pistachio meal?

Optimization of ultrasound-assisted protein extraction for maximum protein yield

Materials and Methods



Cold-pressed meal





Defatted powder





Optimization of extraction conditions

Ultrasound-assisted alkaline extraction (UDE)

Box-Behnken experimental design

Parameters	-1	0	+1
X ₁ : Power (W)	200	400	600
X ₂ : Time (min)	5	15	25
X ₃ : pH	8	9,5	11

Alkaline extraction (AE)

- Solid:liquid ratio: 1:10
- Power (optimal)
- pH (optimal)

Power generator

• Time (optimal)

- Sonics, VCX750, Newtown, USA
- 13 mm probe

BIBI

- Solid:liquid ratio: 1:10 (g/mL)
- Temperature control





Optimization - ANOVA Table

Source	df	F value	p-value	
Model	9	15,60	0.0037	significant
X ₁ : Power	1	76,85	0.0003	
X ₂ : Time	1	20,72	0.0061	
Х ₃ : рН	1	23,90	0.0045	
X ₄ .X ₂	1	0.19	0.6831	
$X_1 X_3$	1	14,63	0.0123	
X ₂ .X ₃	1	0,02	0.8981	
X ₁ ^2	1	1,27	0.3103	
x ₂ ^2	1	1,39	0.2919	
x ₃ ^2	1	1,23	0.3181	
Residual	5			
Lack of Fit	3	7,86	0.1150	not significant
Pure Error	2			
Cor Total	14			

Std. Dev	2165.27
Ortalama	28347.22
C.V. %	7.63
R ²	0.96
R ² (adjusted)	0.90
R ² (predicted)	0.48

Protein content (ppm) = 28347, 2 + 6710, 9 * X_1 + 3484, 4 * X_2 +3742, 2 * X_3 + 4140, 6 * X_1X_3

Optimization_pH



X₃: pH 8

X₃ : pH 9.5

X₃: pH 11



Optimization_Time





Optimization_Power



X₁: 200 W

X₁:400 W

X₁:600 W



Optimization











(Protein contents in the Protein Extracts by Bradford method) 45% increase in protein yield
with UDE compared to
conventional alkaline
extraction

Conclusions and Future Projection

✓ Optimum UAE conditions: 595 W, 19 min, pH 11.

✓ Higher protein extraction yields in shorther time by UAE.

 Contribution to circular economy by valorizating the byproducts from food industry

✓ Development of environmentally friendly and sustainable production strategies.



✓ Investigation of functional and structural properties of proteins

Possible Collaboration



Agri-Food Value Chain





New healthy and sustainable food products and processes TOPIC ID: HORIZON-CL6-2024-FARM2FORK-01-2

Impact of the development of novel foods based on alternative sources of proteins TOPIC ID: HORIZON-CL6-2024-FARM2FORK-01-7



Sakarya University Department of Food Engineering











Sustainable Food Processing Laboratory SuProLab

This work has been supported by the Sakarya University Office of Scientific Research Projects under grant number 2023-20-45-2.

semanury@sakarya.edu.tr



COST Action Meeting FoodWaStop Ancona, 24-25 January 2023